## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO

United States of America,	Case No. CR 13-005 RS
Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Thomaska Mayfield  Defendant.	NORTHERN DISTRICT WIE
	ublic and the defendant in a great thirt a larva a
Failure to grant a continuance would be See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	e likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).  Failure to grant a continuance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).  Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given	
Failure to grant a continuance would un	ments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.  reasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time ng into account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED.  DATED: 1/23/13	
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	LAUREL BEELER United States Magistrate Judge  Assistant United States Attorney